

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/750,969	01/05/2004	Yair Ein-Eli	27054	4539
Martin D. Moy	7590 02/02/2007 nihan		EXAMINER	
PRTSI, Inc. SMITH, NICHOLAS		CHOLAS A		
P.O. Box 1644 Arlington, VA	=		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE MAIL DATE DELIVERY MODE		Y MODE		
31 DAYS		02/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	•		ν			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/750,969	EIN-ELI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nicholas A. Smith	1742				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE	PLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 M	ONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DA	YS.			
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0	<u>5 January 2004</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) 🔀 1	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b)[★ This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allo	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-101</u> is/are pending in the applica	ation.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-101</u> are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to		()				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	21(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	I Office Action or form PTO-157	2.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received in A	pplication No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	•	received in this National Stage	;			
application from the International Bu						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.				
Attachment(s)	-					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	·	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	-, — - ··· —	-				

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-92, drawn to a method for texturing a semiconductor material, classified in class 205, subclass 655.
- II. Claims 93-101, drawn to a textured semiconductor material, classified in class 257, subclass 49.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by silicon texturing based on isotropic etching performed in hydrofluoric acid and combining positive biasing of the silicon with illumination of the positively biased silicon.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: a method of texturing a masked, polished semiconductor material; a method of texturing an unmasked, polished semiconductor material; a method of texturing a masked, unpolished semiconductor material; and a method of texturing an unmasked,

unpolished semiconductor material. The species are independent or distinct because: each species of semiconductor material as stated above would be distinct in respect to the process of negative potential dissolution. Effect of texturing semiconductor materials would be significantly affected having either a polished or unpolished surface. The presence or absence of a mask on a semiconductor would significantly affect both etching solution contact geometry and also affect uniformity of illumination.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

Application/Control Number: 10/750,969 Page 4

Art Unit: 1742

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas A. Smith whose telephone number is (571)-272-8760. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571)-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROY KING SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1790
